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Monte Carlo Tree Search
Monte Carlo Go

- 1993: first Monte Carlo Go program
  - Gobble, Bernd Bruegmann.
  - How nature would play Go?
  - Simulated annealing on two lists of moves.
  - Statistics on moves.
  - Only one rule: do not fill eyes.
  - Result = average program for 9x9 Go.
  - Advantage: much more simple than alternative approaches.
Monte Carlo Phantom Go

- Phantom Go is Go when you cannot see the opponent's moves.
- A referee tells you illegal moves.
- 2005: Monte Carlo Phantom Go program.
- Many Gold medals at computer Olympiad since then using flat Monte Carlo.
- 2011: Exhibition against human players at European Go Congress.
**UCT**

- UCT : Exploration/Exploitation dilemma for trees [Kocsis and Szepesvari 2006].
- Play random random games (playouts).
- Exploitation : choose the move that maximizes the mean of the playouts starting with the move.
- Exploration : add a regret term (UCB).
UCT

- UCT: exploration/exploitation dilemma.
- Play the move that maximizes
- \( \mu_i + C \sqrt{\log(t) / s_i} \)
- \( \mu_i = \text{mean of the playouts starting with move } i \)
- \( t = \text{number of playouts of the node} \)
- \( s_i = \text{number of playouts that start with move } i \)
UCT

1) descent of the tree

2) playout

End of the game

3) update the tree
Descent of the tree

UCT

playouts = 1000
mean = 0.53

playouts = 300
mean = 0.52
0.52 + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1000)}{300}} = 0.67

playouts = 200
mean = 0.47
0.47 + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1000)}{200}} = 0.66

playouts = 500
mean = 0.56
0.56 + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1000)}{500}} = 0.68
UCT

Update of the tree

- playouts = 1001, mean = 0.531
- playouts = 300, mean = 0.52
- playouts = 200, mean = 0.47
- playouts = 501, mean = 0.562
RAVE

- A big improvement for Go, Hex and other games is Rapid Action Value Estimation (RAVE) [Gelly and Silver 2007].

- RAVE combines the mean of the playouts that start with the move and the mean of the playouts that contain the move.
RAVE

• Parameter $\beta_m$ for move $m$ is:

$$\beta_m \leftarrow \frac{pAMAF_m}{(pAMAF_m + p_m + \text{bias} \times pAMAF_m \times p_m)}$$

• $\beta_m$ starts at 1 when no playouts and decreases as more playouts are played.

• Selection of moves in the tree:

$$\arg\max_m ((1.0 - \beta_m) \times \text{mean}_m + \beta_m \times \text{AMAF}_m)$$
GRAVE

- Generalized Rapid Action Value Estimation (GRAVE) is a simple modification of RAVE.
- It consists in using the first ancestor node with more than n playouts to compute the RAVE values.
- It is a big improvement over RAVE for Go, Atarigo, Knightthrough and Domineering [Cazenave 2015].
Parallelization of MCTS

• Root Parallelization.

• Tree Parallelization (virtual loss).

• Leaf Parallelization.
• Great success for the game of Go since 2007.
• Much better than all previous approaches to computer Go.
Lee Sedol is among the strongest and most famous 9p Go player:

AlphaGo has won 4-1 against Lee Sedol in March 2016
AlphaGo Master wins 3-0 against Ke Jie, 60-0 against pros.
AlphaGo Zero wins 89-11 against AlphaGo Master in 2017.
AlphaGo Zero

- AlphaGo Zero starts learning from scratch.

- It uses the raw representation of the board as input, even liberties are not used.

- It has 15 input planes, 7 for the previous Black stones, 7 for the previous White Stones and 1 plane for the color to play.
AlphaGo Zero

- It plays against itself using PUCT and 1,600 tree descents:
  \[ U(s, a) = c_{puct} p(s, a) \frac{\sqrt{\sum_b N(s, b)}}{1 + N(s, a)} \]

- It uses a residual neural network with two heads.

- One head is the policy, the other head is the value.
AlphaGo Zero
AlphaGo Zero

• After 4.9 million games against itself a 20 residual blocks neural network reaches the level of AlphaGo Lee (100-0).

• 3 days of self play on the machines of DeepMind.

• Comparison: Golois searches 1,600 nodes in 10 seconds on a 4 GPU machine.

• It would take Golois 466 years to play 4.9 million such games.
AlphaGo Zero
General Game Playing

- General Game Playing = play a new game just given the rules.
- Competition organized every year by Stanford.
- All world champions since 2007 use MCTS.
General Game Playing

• Eric Piette combined Stochastic Constraint Programming with Monte Carlo in WoodStock.
• World champion in 2016 (MAC-UCB-SYM).
• Detection of symmetries in the states.
Other two-player games

- Hex : 2009
- Amazons : 2009
- Lines of Action : 2009
MCTs Solver

- When a subtree has been completely explored the exact result is known.
- MCTs can solve games.
- Score Bounded MCTS is the extension of pruning to solving games with multiple outcomes.
Counter Factual Regret Minimization

- Poker: Libratus (CMU), DeepStack (UofA).
- Approximation of the Nash Equilibrium.
- There are about 320 trillion “information sets” in heads-up limit hold’em.
- What the algorithm does is to look at all strategies that do not include a move, and count how much we “regret” having excluded the move from our mix.
- Better than top professional players.
Nested Monte Carlo Search
Single Agent Monte Carlo

- UCT can be used for single-agent problems.
- Nested Monte Carlo Search often gives better results.
- Nested Rollout Policy Adaptation is an online learning variation that has beaten world records.
Nested Monte-Carlo Search
Nested Monte-Carlo Search

• Play random games at level 0
• For each move at level n+1, play the move then play a game at level n
• Choose to play the move with the greatest associated score
• Important: memorize and follow the best sequence found at each level
Morpion Solitaire

• Morpion Solitaire is an NP-hard puzzle and the high score is inapproximable within $n^{1-\varepsilon}$.
• A move consists in adding a circle such that a line containing five circles can be drawn.
• In the disjoint version a circle cannot be a part of two lines that have the same direction.
• Best human score is 68 moves.
• Level 4 Search => 80 moves, after 5 hours of computation on a 64 cores cluster.
Morpion Solitaire

• 80 moves:
Morpion Solitaire

• Distribution of the scores
Morpion Solitaire

- Mean scores in real-time

![Graph showing probability of score over time for different levels: level 0, level 1, level 2.](image)
SameGame

- NP-complete puzzle.
- It consists in a grid composed of cells of different colors. Adjacent cells of the same color can be removed together, there is a bonus of 1,000 points for removing all the cells.
- TabuColorRandom strategy: the color that has the most cells is set as the tabu color.
- During the playouts, moves of the tabu color are played only if there are no moves of the others colors or it removes all the cells of the tabu color.
Same Game
Same Game

- SP-MCTS = restarts of the UCT algorithm
- SP-MCTS scored 73,998 on a standard test set.
- IDA*: 22,354
- Darse Billings program: 72,816.
- Level 2 without memorization: 44,731
- Nested level 2 with memorization: 65,937
- Nested level 3: 77,934
Application to Constraint Satisfaction

• A nested search of level 0 is a playout.
• A nested search of level 1 uses a playout to choose a value.
• A nested search of level 2 uses nested search of level 1 to choose a value.
• etc.
• The score is always the number of free variables.
Sudoku

• Sudoku is a popular NP-complete puzzle.
• 16x16 grids with 66% of empty cells.
• Easy-Hard-Easy distribution of problems.
• Forward Checking (FC) is stopped when the search time for a problem exceeds 20,000 s.
Sudoku

- FC: > 446,771.09 s.
- Iterative Sampling: 61.83 s.
- Nested level 1: 1.34 s.
- Nested level 2: 1.64 s.
Kakuro

A 5x5 grid
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>24</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Solution
## Kakuro

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Solved problems</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward Checking</td>
<td>8/100</td>
<td>92,131.18 s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iterative Sampling</td>
<td>10/100</td>
<td>94,605.16 s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monte-Carlo level 1</td>
<td>100/100</td>
<td>78.30 s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monte-Carlo level 2</td>
<td>100/100</td>
<td>17.85 s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8x8 Grids, 9 values, stop at 1,000 s.
Parallel Nested Monte-Carlo Search

- Play the highest level sequentially
- Play the lowest levels in parallel
- Speedup = 56 for 64 cores at Morpion Solitaire
- A more simple parallelization: play completely different searches in parallel (i.e. use a different seed for each search).
Monte Carlo Beam Search
Single-Agent General Game Playing

- Nested Monte-Carlo search gives better results than UCT on average.
- For some problems UCT is better.
- Ary searches with both UCT and Nested Monte-Carlo search and plays the move that has the best score.
Snake in the box

• A path such that for every node only two neighbors are in the path.
• Applications: Electrical engineering, coding theory, computer network topologies.
• World records with NMCS [Kinny 2012].
Multi-agent pathfinding

• Find routes for the agents avoiding collisions.
• Monte Carlo Fork Search enables to branch in the playouts.
• It solves difficult problems faster than other algorithms [Bouzy 2013].
The Pancake Problem

• Nested Monte Carlo Search has beaten world records using specialized playout policies [Bouzy 2015].
Software Engineering

• Search based software testing [Feldt and Poulding 2015].

• Heuristic Model Checking [Poulding and Feldt 2015].

• Generating structured test data with specific properties [Poulding and Feldt 2014].
Monte-Carlo Discovery of Expressions

- Possible moves are pushing atoms.
- Evaluation of a complete expression.
- Better than Genetic Programming for some problems [Cazenave 2010, 2013].
Nested Rollout Policy Adaptation
Nested Rollout Policy Adaptation

- NRPA is NMCS with policy learning.
- It uses Gibbs Sampling as a playout policy.
- It adapts the weights of the moves according to the best sequence of moves found so far.
- During adaptation each weight of a move of the best sequence is incremented and the other moves in the same state are decreased proportionally to their weights.
Nested Rollout Policy Adaptation

- Each move is associated to a weight \( w_i \).
- During a playout each move is played with a probability:

\[
\frac{\exp (w_i)}{\sum \exp (w_k)}
\]
Nested Rollout Policy Adaptation

• For each move of the best sequence:
  \[ w_i = w_i + 1 \]

• For each possible move of each state of the best sequence:
  \[ w_i = w_i - \exp(w_i) / \sum \exp(w_k) \]
Morpion Solitaire

World record [Rosin 2011]
Applications of NRPA

- 3D packing with object orientation.
Applications of NRPA

- Improvement of some alignments for Multiple Sequence Alignment [Edelkamp & al 2015].
Applications of NRPA

- Traveling Salesman Problem with Time Windows [Cazenave 2012].

- Physical traveling salesman problem.
Applications of NRPA

- State of the art results for Logistics [Edelkamp & al. 2016].
Selective Policies

- Prune bad moves during playouts.
- Modify the legal moves function.
- Use rules to find bad moves.
- Different domain specific rules for:
  - Bus regulation,
  - SameGame,
  - Weak Schur numbers.
Bus Regulation

- At each stop a regulator can decide to make a bus wait before continuing his route.
- Waiting at a stop can reduce the overall passengers waiting time.
- The score of a simulation is the sum of all the passengers waiting time.
- Optimizing a problem is finding a set of bus stopping times that minimizes the score of the simulation.
Bus Regulation

- Standard policy: between 1 and 5 minutes
- Selective policy: waiting time of 1 if there are fewer than \( \delta \) stops before the next bus.

Code for a move:
- the bus stop,
- the time of arrival to the bus stop,
- the number of minutes to wait before leaving the stop.
## Bus Regulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>No $\delta$</th>
<th>$\delta = 3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2,620</td>
<td>2,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2,441</td>
<td>2,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2,329</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2,242</td>
<td>1,959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2,157</td>
<td>1,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>2,107</td>
<td>1,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2,046</td>
<td>1,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1,974</td>
<td>1,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1,892</td>
<td>1,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>1,802</td>
<td>1,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.24</td>
<td>1,737</td>
<td>1,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.48</td>
<td>1,698</td>
<td>1,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.96</td>
<td>1,682</td>
<td>1,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.92</td>
<td>1,660</td>
<td>1,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163.84</td>
<td>1,632</td>
<td><strong>1,610</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SameGame
SameGame

- Code of a move = Zobrist hashing.
- Tabu color strategy = avoid moves of the dominant color until there is only one block of the dominant color.
- Selective policy = allow moves of size two of the tabu color when the number of moves already played is greater than t.
## SameGame

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>No tabu</th>
<th>tabu</th>
<th>t &gt; 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>155.83</td>
<td>352.19</td>
<td>257.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>251.28</td>
<td>707.56</td>
<td>505.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>340.18</td>
<td>927.63</td>
<td>677.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>404.27</td>
<td>1,080.64</td>
<td>822.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>466.15</td>
<td>1,252.14</td>
<td>939.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>545.78</td>
<td>1,375.78</td>
<td>1,058.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>647.63</td>
<td>1,524.37</td>
<td>1,203.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>807.20</td>
<td>1,648.16</td>
<td>1,356.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1,012.42</td>
<td>1,746.74</td>
<td>1,497.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>1,184.77</td>
<td>1,819.43</td>
<td>1,605.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.24</td>
<td>1,286.25</td>
<td>1,886.48</td>
<td>1,712.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.48</td>
<td>1,425.55</td>
<td>1,983.42</td>
<td>1,879.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.96</td>
<td>1,579.67</td>
<td>2,115.80</td>
<td>2,100.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.92</td>
<td>1,781.40</td>
<td>2,319.44</td>
<td><strong>2,384.24</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163.84</td>
<td>2,011.25</td>
<td>2,484.18</td>
<td><strong>2,636.22</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SameGame**

Standard test set of 20 boards:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NMCS</th>
<th>SP-MCTS</th>
<th>NRPA</th>
<th>web</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77,934</td>
<td>78,012</td>
<td>80,030</td>
<td>87,858</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Weak Schur Numbers

• Find a partition of consecutive numbers that contains as many consecutive numbers as possible

• A partition must not contain a number that is the sum of two previous numbers in the same partition.

• Partition of size 3:

1 2 4 8 11 22
3 5 6 7 19 21 23
9 10 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20
Weak Schur Numbers

• Often a good move to put the next number in the same partition as the previous number.

• If it is legal to put the next number in the same partition as the previous number then it is the only legal move considered.

• Otherwise all legal moves are considered.

• The code of a move for the Weak Schur problem takes as input the partition of the move, the integer to assign and the previous number in the partition.
## Weak Schur Numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>ws(9)</th>
<th>ws-rule(9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>2,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>3,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>3,717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>4,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>4,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>4,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>5,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>5,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>5,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>6,065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.24</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>6,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.48</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>6,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.96</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>7,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.92</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>7,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163.84</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>7,538</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Selective Policies

- We have applied selective policies to three quite different problems.
- For each problem selective policies improve NRPA.
- We used only simple policy improvements.
- Better performance could be obtained refining the proposed policies.
Same Game

• Hybrid Parallelization [Negrevergne 2017].

• Root Parallelization for each computer.

• Leaf Parallelization of the playouts using threads.

• New record at Same Game: 83 050.
Playout Policy Adaptation
Offline learning of a playout policy

- Offline learning of playout policies has given good results in Go [Coulom 2007, Huang 2010] and Hex [Huang 2013], learning fixed pattern weights so as to bias the playouts.

- Patterns are also used to do progressive widening in the UCT tree.
Online learning of a playout policy

- The RAVE algorithm [Gelly 2011] performs online learning of moves values in order to bias the choice of moves in the UCT tree.
- RAVE has been very successful in Go and Hex.
- A development of RAVE is to use the RAVE values to choose moves in the playouts using Pool RAVE [Rimmel 2010].
- Pool RAVE improves slightly on random playouts in Havannah and reaches 62.7% against random playouts in Go.
Online learning of a playout policy

- Move-Average Sampling Technique (MAST) is a technique used in the GGP program Cadia Player so as to bias the playouts with statistics on moves [Finnsson 2010].

- It consists of choosing a move in the playout proportionally to the exponential of its mean.

- MAST keeps the average result of each action over all simulations.
Online learning of a playout policy

- Later improvements of Cadia Player are N-Grams and the last good reply policy [Tak 2012].
- They have been applied to GGP so as to improve playouts by learning move sequences.
- A recent development in GGP is to have multiple playout strategies and to choose the one which is the most adapted to the problem at hand [Swiechowski 2014].
Online learning of a playout policy

- Playout Policy Adaptation (PPA) also uses Gibbs sampling.
- The evaluation of an action for PPA is not its mean over all simulations such as in MAST.
- Instead the value of an action is learned comparing it to the other available actions for the state where it has been played.
Playout Policy learning

- Start with a uniform policy.
- Use the policy for the playouts.
- Adapt the policy for the winner of each playout.
Playout Policy learning

• Each move is associated to a weight $w_i$.

• During a playout each move is played with a probability:

$$\exp (w_i) / \sum \exp (w_i)$$
Playout Policy learning

• Online learning:

• For each move of the winner:

  \[ w_i = w_i + 1 \]

• For each possible move of each state of the winner:

  \[ w_i = w_i - \exp(w_i) / \sum \exp(w_i) \]
Breakthrough

- The first player to reach the opposite line has won.
Misère Breakthrough

- The first player to reach the opposite line has lost
Knightthrough

- The first to put a knight on the opposite side has won.
Misère Knightthrough

- The first to put a knight on the opposite side has lost.
Atarigo

- The first to capture has won
Nogo

- The first to capture has lost
Domineering
Misère Domineering

• The last to play has won / lost.
## Experimental results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Game</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Playouts 1,000</th>
<th>Playouts 10,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atarigo</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>94.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakthrough</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misere Breakthrough</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domineering</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misere Domineering</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>83.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knightthrough</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misere Knightthrough</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nogo</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misere Nogo</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>89.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Playout Policy learning with Move Features

• Associate features to the move.

• A move and its features are associated to a code.

• The algorithm learns the weights of codes instead of simply the weights of moves.
Playout Policy learning with Move Features

- Atarigo: four adjacent intersections
- Breakthrough: capture in the move code
- Misère Breakthrough: same as Breakthrough
- Domineering: cells next to the domino played
- Misère Domineering: same as Domineering
- Knighthrough: capture in the move code
- Misère Knighthrough: same as Knighthrough
- Nogo: same as Atarigo
Experimental results

- Each result is the outcome of a 500 games match, 250 with White and 250 with Black.
- UCT with an adaptive policy (PPAF) is played against UCT with a random policy.
- Tests are done for 10,000 playouts.
- For each game we test size 8x8.
- We tested 8 different games.
Experimental results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Game</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Winning %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atarigo</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>94.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakthrough</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>81.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misere Breakthrough</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domineering</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>80.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misere Domineering</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>93.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knightthrough</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>84.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misere Knightthrough</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nogo</td>
<td>8 x 8</td>
<td>95.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PPAF and Memorization

- Start a game with an uniform policy.
- Adapt at each move of the game.
- Start at each move with the policy of the previous move.
PPAF and Memorization

• A nice property of PPAF is that the move played after the algorithm has been run is the most simulated move.

• The memorized policy is related to the state after the move played by the algorithm since it is the most simulated move.

• When starting with the memorized policy for the next state, this state has already been partially learned
## PPAFM versus PPAF uniform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Game</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atarigo</td>
<td>66.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakthrough</td>
<td>87.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domineering</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knightthrough</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misere Breakthrough</td>
<td>97.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misere Domineering</td>
<td>56.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misere Knightthrough</td>
<td>99.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nogo</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atarigo</td>
<td>95.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakthrough</td>
<td>94.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domineering</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knightthrough</td>
<td>96.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misere Breakthrough</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misere Domineering</td>
<td>95.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misere Knightthrough</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nogo</td>
<td>91.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

Monte Carlo Search is a simple algorithm that gives state of the art results for multiple problems:

- Games
- Puzzles
- Discovery of formulas
- Snake in the box
- Pancake
- Logistics
- Multiple Sequence Alignement